

PHYTOCHEMISTRY AND ANTIMICROBIAL ACTIVITY OF *HUGONIA MYSTAX* L. (LINACEAE)ARUMUGAM VIMALAVADYA^A, KRISHNAN KADAVULA^A, ARUMOUGAME CHANEMOUGAME TANGAVELOU^{B*}^ADepartment of Botany, Kanchi Mamunivar Centre for Post graduate studies, Lawspet, Pondicherry 605008, South India, ^BBio-Science Research Foundation, 166/1 Gunda Salai, Moolakulam, Pondicherry 605010, South India. Email: actangavelou@hotmail.com

Received: 2 Sep 2011, Revised and Accepted: 19 Oct 2011

ABSTRACT

In this paper, an ethnomedicinal plant, *Hugonia mystax* L., was evaluated for preliminary phytochemical screening and antimicrobial activity. Preliminary phytochemical screening showed the presence of various classes of secondary metabolites such as flavonoids, phenols, saponins, steroids, tannins and terpenoids. Antimicrobial activity of petroleum ether, chloroform, ethanol and aqueous extracts of root extracts showed significant activity against various human pathogens. The antimicrobial activity revealed the medicinal potential of *H. mystax* to develop a drug against various human ailments.

Keywords: Ethnomedicine, *Hugonia mystax*, Constituents, Antimicrobial activity, Drug development.

INTRODUCTION

The genus *Hugonia* L., (family: Linaceae) represents about 40 species in the world, of which two species namely *Hugonia mystax* L., and *H. ferruginea* Wight & Arn., were reported from India^{1,2}. The plant, *Hugonia mystax* L., locally known in Tamil language as *Modirakanni*, is commonly distributed in the thorny scrubs and tropical dry evergreen forests of Tamil Nadu. Ethnobotanically roots were used for dysentery³ and snake bite⁴, and also used for anthelmintic, astringent, fever, inflammation, Rheumatism⁵⁻⁹. Biological activities such as analgesic, anti-inflammatory and ulcerogenic were reported¹⁰.

After the scrutiny of literatures, only scanty work has been reported on root portion. Hence in the present study, preliminary phytochemical screening and antimicrobial activity of various extracts of roots in *Hugonia mystax* were reported.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Collection of Plant material

The roots materials were collected from the Marakanam forest vicinity of Villupuram district, Tamil Nadu. The collected plant materials were botanically identified by compared the herbarium specimen submitted at French Institute, Pondicherry. The herbarium specimens were prepared and deposited at the Department of Botany, Kanchi Mamunivar Centre for Post Graduate Studies, Lawspet, Puducherry, for future reference.

Preparation of the Extracts

The collected root materials were chopped into small pieces separately, shade-dried and coarsely powdered using a pulverizor. The coarse powders were subjected to successive extraction with organic solvents of increasing polarity such as petroleum ether, chloroform and ethanol by Soxhlet method. The extracts were collected and distilled off on a water bath at atmospheric pressure and the last trace of the solvents was removed in *vacuo* and stored at 4°C. The resulted extracts were used for preliminary phytochemical screening and antimicrobial studies.

Preliminary phytochemical screening

All the extracts were subjected to preliminary phytochemical tests followed by the Standard methods^{11,12}.

In vitro antimicrobial activity

All the three extracts (petroleum ether, chloroform and ethanol) extracts were used to prepare various concentrations such as 100, 50 and 25 mg/ml respectively. These were used for antimicrobial activity. For aqueous extract, roots were collected and 30 g each of plant materials were weighed, chopped and divided into 3 portions. Each portion was crushed by grinding in a mortar, transferred to a

suitable glass bottle and added 50 ml each of distilled water. First glass bottle was autoclaved at 10 lbs for 20 min. The second was boiled (100°C) for 20 min. The third was mechanically shaken (200 rpm) under cold conditions for 2 h. The extracts were filtered off using cheesecloth and 0.45 µ filter papers, transferred into sterile closed containers and considered as 100% extract. By adding sterile distilled water, 50% of the extracts were prepared¹³.

Test microorganisms

The following bacterial strains and fungal strains were used for the study of antimicrobial activity. All the microbial strains of human pathogens used were procured from IMTECH, Chandigarh includes Gram-negative bacteria such as *Escherichia coli* (MTCC 724), *Proteus vulgaris* (MTCC 426), *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (MTCC 741), *Salmonella typhi* (MTCC 733), *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* (MTCC 451) and *V. vulnificus* (MTCC 1145) and Gram-positive bacteria such as *Bacillus subtilis* (MTCC 441), *Staphylococcus aureus* (MTCC 96) and *Streptococcus pneumoniae* (MTCC 655) and four fungi viz., *Aspergillus flavus*, *A. fumigatus*, *A. niger* (MTCC 1344) and *Candida albicans* (MTCC 227) respectively.

Determination of antimicrobial activity

Agar well-diffusion method¹⁴ was followed to determine the antimicrobial activity. Nutrient agar (NA) and Potato Dextrose Agar (PDA) plates were swabbed (sterile cotton swabs) with 8 hour old - broth culture of respective bacteria and fungi. Four wells (10mm diameter) were made in each of these plates using sterile cork borer. About 0.3 ml of different concentrations of plant solvent extracts were added using sterilized dropping pipettes into the wells and allowed to diffuse at room temperature for 2 h. The plates were incubated at 37°C for 18-24 h for bacterial pathogens and 28°C for fungal pathogens. Respective proper controls of solvent plant extracts were also maintained. Diameter of the inhibition zones was recorded. Triplicates were maintained and the experiment was repeated thrice and the average values were recorded.

RESULTS

Preliminary Phytochemical Screening

The results of preliminary phytochemical screening were given in the Table 1. It revealed the presence of carbohydrates, flavonoids, phenolic groups, saponins, tannins and terpenoids in all the extracts. Steroids were present in both the petroleum ether and ethanol extracts while alkaloids were present only in ethanol extract. Moreover, amino acids, anthraquinones, catechins, coumarins, gum, oil & resins, proteins and quinones were absent in all the extracts.

Antimicrobial activity

The results of antimicrobial activity of roots of *H. mystax* were given in the Table 2. All the tested extracts showed concentration-dependent activity against the tested microorganisms.

For petroleum ether extract, the zone of inhibition recorded was ranged between 21 and 25mm against gram-positive bacteria. Maximum zone of inhibition was recorded as 25mm each against

S.aureus and *S. pneumoniae* at 100mg/ml, 24mm against *S.aureus* at 50mg/ml, 22mm each against *B.subtilis* at 50 and 25mg/ml, *S.aureus* at 25mg/ml, *S.pneumoniae* at 50mg/ml concentration respectively.

Table 1: shows preliminary phytochemical screening of various extracts on the roots

| Constituents | Petroleum Ether | Chloroform | Ethanol |
|-------------------|-----------------|------------|---------|
| Alkaloids | - | - | + |
| Aminoacids | - | - | - |
| Antraquinones | - | - | - |
| Carbohydrates | + | + | + |
| Catechins | - | - | - |
| Coumarins | - | - | - |
| Flavonoids | + | + | + |
| Gum, oil & resins | - | - | - |
| Phenolic groups | + | + | + |
| Proteins | - | - | - |
| Quinones | - | - | - |
| Saponins | + | + | + |
| Steroids | + | - | + |
| Tannins | + | + | + |
| Terpenoids | + | + | + |

+ = present, - = absent

Table 2: shows antimicrobial activity of various extracts of roots.

| Tested Microorganisms | Solvent extracts | | | | | | | | | Aqueous extracts | | | | | | | | | Standard drug (µg/ml) |
|----------------------------|------------------|---------|---------|------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|-----------------------|
| | Petroleum ether | | | Chloroform | | | Ethanol | | | Autoclaved | | | Boiled | | | Cold | | | |
| | (mg/ml) | (mg/ml) | (mg/ml) | (mg/ml) | (mg/ml) | (mg/ml) | (mg/ml) | (mg/ml) | (mg/ml) | (mg/ml) | (mg/ml) | (mg/ml) | (mg/ml) | (mg/ml) | (mg/ml) | (mg/ml) | (mg/ml) | (mg/ml) | |
| Gram-positive bacteria | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>B. subtilis</i> | 23 | 22 | 22 | - | - | - | 28 | 26 | 25 | 24 | 22 | 20 | 21 | 20 | 19 | 23 | 22 | 21 | 33 (A) |
| <i>S. aureus</i> | 25 | 24 | 22 | - | - | - | 27 | 26 | 25 | 25 | 23 | 22 | 23 | 22 | 20 | 20 | 18 | 16 | 35 (A) |
| <i>S. pneumoniae</i> | 25 | 22 | 21 | - | - | - | 23 | 22 | 21 | 21 | 20 | 20 | 19 | 18 | 17 | 15 | 14 | 13 | 32 (CI) |
| Gram-negative bacteria | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>E. coli</i> | 27 | 26 | 25 | - | - | - | 31 | 30 | 29 | 22 | 21 | 19 | 22 | 21 | 20 | 17 | 16 | 15 | 33 (A) |
| <i>P. aeruginosa</i> | 23 | 21 | 21 | - | - | - | 25 | 24 | 23 | 27 | 26 | 25 | 21 | 20 | 19 | 19 | 17 | 16 | 34 (A) |
| <i>P. vulgaris</i> | 20 | 16 | 14 | 24 | 23 | 22 | 32 | 31 | 30 | 19 | 17 | 16 | 24 | 23 | 21 | 24 | 23 | 22 | 35 (CI) |
| <i>S. typhi</i> | 23 | 22 | 22 | 21 | 19 | 15 | 26 | 24 | 23 | 20 | 18 | 17 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 35 (CF) |
| <i>V. parahaemolyticus</i> | 24 | 23 | 22 | - | - | - | 33 | 32 | 31 | 24 | 20 | 18 | 22 | 21 | 16 | - | - | - | 34 (K) |
| <i>V. vulnificus</i> | 20 | 17 | 14 | 22 | 20 | 18 | 28 | 26 | 25 | 19 | 17 | 16 | 24 | 23 | 21 | 24 | 23 | 22 | 35 (K) |
| Fungi | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>A. flavus</i> | 23 | 22 | 21 | 16 | 15 | 14 | 27 | 26 | 25 | 20 | 17 | 16 | 26 | 25 | 24 | 19 | 18 | 17 | 30 (P) |
| <i>A. fumigatus</i> | 22 | 19 | 18 | - | - | - | 22 | 21 | 20 | 17 | 15 | 14 | 19 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 15 | 14 | 32 (P) |
| <i>A. niger</i> | 29 | 27 | 26 | - | - | - | 32 | 31 | 29 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 31 (P) |
| <i>C. albicans</i> | 21 | 19 | 18 | 20 | 19 | 16 | 28 | 25 | 24 | 26 | 25 | 24 | 25 | 24 | 20 | 20 | 19 | 18 | 31 (P) |

(Measurements in mm indicates the zone of inhibition); A-Ampicillin, C-Clotrimazole, Cf-Ciproflaxacin, K-Kanamycin, Penicillin

In gram-negative bacteria, the zone of inhibition recorded was ranged between 14 and 27mm. Maximum zone of inhibition was recorded as 27, 26 and 25mm each against *E.coli* at 100, 50 and 25mg/ml, 24mm against *V. parahaemolyticus* at 100mg/ml, 23mm each against *P.aeruginosa* and *S.typhi* at 100mg/ml and against *V. parahaemolyticus* at 50mg/ml, 22mm each against *S.typhi* at 50 and 25mg/ml and against *V. parahaemolyticus* at 25mg/ml concentration respectively. In fungi, zone of inhibition recorded was ranged between 18 and 29mm. Maximum zone of inhibition was recorded as 29, 27 and 26mm against *A.niger* at 100, 50 and 25mg/ml, 23, 22 and 21mm against *A.flavus* at 100, 50 and 25mg/ml and 21mm against *C.albicans* at 100mg/ml concentration respectively.

For chloroform extract, the zone of inhibition recorded was ranged between 15 and 25mm against gram-negative bacteria. Maximum zone of inhibition was recorded as 24, 23 and 22mm against *P. vulgaris* at 100, 50 and 25mg/ml, 22mm against *V. vulnificus* at 100mg/ml concentration. Moreover, it did not show any activity against gram-positive bacteria. In fungi, zone of inhibition recorded was ranged between 21 and 25mm. Maximum zone of inhibition was recorded as 20mm against *C.albicans* at 100mg/ml concentration while it did not show any activity against *A.fumigatus* and *A.niger*.

For ethanol extract, the zone of inhibition recorded was ranged between 21 and 28mm against gram-positive bacteria. Maximum zone of inhibition was recorded as 28mm against *B. subtilis* at 100mg/ml, 27mm against *S.aureus* at 100mg/ml, 26mm each against *B. subtilis* and *S. aureus* at 50mg/ml, 25mm each against *B. subtilis* and *S. aureus* at 25mg/ml, 23, 22 and 21mm against *S. pneumoniae* at 100, 50 and 25mg/ml concentrations respectively. In gram-negative bacteria, the zone of inhibition recorded was ranged between 23 and 33mm. Maximum zone of inhibition was recorded as 33, 32 and 31mm each against *V. parahaemolyticus* at 100, 50 and 25mg/ml, 32mm against *P. vulgaris* at 100 mg/ml, 31mm each against *E. coli* and *P. vulgaris* at 100, 50mg/ml respectively. 30mm each against *E. coli* at 50mg/ml and *P. vulgaris* at 25mg/ml, 29mm against *E. coli* at 25mg/ml, 28mm against *V. vulnificus* at 100mg/ml, 26mm each against *S. typhi* at 100mg/ml, *V. vulnificus* at 50mg/ml, 25mm each against *P. aeruginosa* at 100mg/ml and *V. vulnificus* at 25mg/ml, 24, 23mm each against *P. aeruginosa* and *S. typhi* at 50, 25mg/ml respectively. In fungi, zone of inhibition recorded was ranged between 20 and 32mm. Maximum zone of inhibition was recorded as 32, 31 and 29mm against *A. niger* at 100, 50 and 25mg/ml, 28mm against *C. albicans* at 100mg/ml, 27, 26mm against *A. flavus* at 100 and 50mg/ml, 25mm each against *C. albicans* at

50mg/ml and *A. flavus* at 25mg/ml, 24mm against *C. albicans* at 25mg/ml, 22, 21 and 20mm against *A. fumigatus* at 100, 50 and 25mg/ml respectively.

For cold extract, the zone of inhibition recorded was ranged between 13 and 23mm against gram-positive bacteria. Maximum zone of inhibition was recorded as 23, 22 and 21mm against *B. subtilis* at 100, 50 and 25mg/ml, 20mm against *S. aureus* at 100mg/ml respectively. In gram-negative bacteria, the zone of inhibition recorded was ranged between 15 and 24mm. Maximum zone of inhibition was recorded as 24, 23 and 22mm each against *P. vulgaris* and *V. vulnificus* at 100, 50 and 25mg/ml concentration while it did not show any activity against *V. parahaemolyticus* and *S. typhi*. In fungi, zone of inhibition recorded was ranged between 14 and 20mm. Maximum zone of inhibition was recorded as 20mm against *C. albicans* at 100mg/ml concentration and it did not show any activity against *A. niger*.

For boiled extract, the zone of inhibition recorded was ranged between 17 and 23mm against gram-positive bacteria. Maximum zone of inhibition was recorded as 23 and 22mm against *S. aureus* at 100 and 50mg/ml, 21mm against *B. subtilis* at 100mg/ml, 20mm each against *B. subtilis* at 50mg/ml and *S. aureus* at 25mg/ml respectively. In gram-negative bacteria, the zone of inhibition recorded was ranged between 16 and 24mm. Maximum zone of inhibition was recorded as 24, 23mm each against *P. vulgaris* and *V. vulnificus* at 100, 50mg/ml, 22mm each against *E. coli* and *V. parahaemolyticus* at 100mg/ml, 21mm each against *P. aeruginosa* at 100mg/ml, *E. coli* and *V. parahaemolyticus* at 50mg/ml, *P. vulgaris* and *V. vulnificus* at 25mg/ml, 20mm each against *P. aeruginosa* at 50mg/ml and *E. coli* at 25mg/ml respectively. In fungi, zone of inhibition recorded was ranged between 18 and 26mm. Maximum zone of inhibition was recorded as 26, 25 and 24mm against *A. flavus* at 100, 50 and 25mg/ml, 25, 24 and 20mm against *C. albicans* at 100, 50 and 25mg/ml concentration while it did not show any activity against *A. niger*.

For autoclaved extract, the zone of inhibition recorded was ranged between 20 and 25mm against gram-positive bacteria. Maximum zone of inhibition was recorded as 25mm against *S. aureus* at 100mg/ml, 24mm against *B. subtilis* at 100mg/ml, 23mm against *S. aureus* at 50mg/ml, 22mm each against *B. subtilis* at 50mg/ml and *S. aureus* at 25mg/ml, 21, 20mm against *S. pneumoniae* at 100, 50 and 25mg/ml respectively. In gram-negative bacteria, the zone of inhibition recorded was ranged between 16 and 27mm. Maximum zone of inhibition was recorded as 27, 26 and 25mm each against *P. aeruginosa* at 100, 50 and 25mg/ml, 24mm against *V. parahaemolyticus* at 100 mg/ml, 22 and 21mm against *E. coli* at 100, 50mg/ml, 20mm each against *S. typhi* at 100mg/ml and *V. parahaemolyticus* at 50mg/ml respectively. In fungi, zone of inhibition recorded was ranged between 14 and 26mm. Maximum zone of inhibition was recorded as 26, 25 and 24mm against *C. albicans* at 100, 50 and 25mg/ml, 20mm against *A. flavus* at 100mg/ml concentration while it did not show any activity against *A. niger*.

DISCUSSION

Medicinal plants remain an important source of new drugs, new drug leads and New Chemical Entities (NCEs)¹⁵. This indicates the chemical potential of the extracts to facilitate the process of chemical isolation^{15, 16}. It has been reported that many medicinal plants are rich in varieties of secondary metabolites such as alkaloids, flavonoids, tannins and terpenoids^{17,18}. These secondary plant metabolites exert a wide range of biological activities on physiological systems¹⁹. In the present study, preliminary phytochemical screening showed the presence of flavonoids, phenolic groups, saponins, tannins and terpenoids in all the extracts. Flavonoids are reported to possess anti-oxidant, anti-proliferative, antitumor, anti-inflammatory, pro-apoptotic activities with molecular targets²⁰⁻²⁷. The health-promoting effects of flavonoids may relate to interactions with key enzymes, signaling cascades involving cytokines and transcription factors, or antioxidant systems²⁸. Due to the presence of these many compounds the extracts possess the medicinal potential to develop novel therapeutic agents.

Regarding antimicrobial activity, petroleum ether, ethanol and aqueous extracts (cold, boiled and autoclaved) extracts showed maximum zone of inhibition against both gram-positive and gram-negative bacteria while chloroform extract showed maximum zone of inhibition against only gram-negative bacteria but no activity against gram-positive bacteria. This studies revealed that the medicinal and chemical potential of the extracts to develop new broad spectrum antibiotics against various diseases. McCutcheon²⁹ reported that most of the plant extracts showed activity against gram-positive than gram-negative bacteria. But in our present study, chloroform extract possess inhibitory activity against gram-negative than gram-positive bacteria. In support to his view, Garvey³⁰ reported that most of the plant extracts possess activity against gram-negative bacteria. This revealed the medicinal potential against gram-negative bacteria. In fungi, all the extracts showed inhibitory activity which revealed the antibiotic potential of these extracts to develop new leads. Thus all the extracts except chloroform extract possess antibiotic potential to develop broad spectrum antibiotic leads for the treatment of various diseases. Thus the significant inhibitory against the tested microorganisms may be due to the presence of various classes of phytochemicals such as flavonoids, phenolic groups, steroids saponins tannins and terpenoids as suggested by previous reports³¹⁻³⁴. The significant activity of the results against the fungi, *A. flavus* and *Candida albicans* provides additional confirmation to the phenolic compounds and steroidal compounds which are more effective in higher concentration inhibited the growth of all fungi³⁵⁻³⁶. Even in hospitals, majority of disinfectants such as phenols, lysol, cresols used are belonging to phenolic groups. Thus recent findings of antimicrobial activity against *P. aeruginosa*, *P. vulgaris*, *S. typhi*, *V. parahaemolyticus* and *V. vulnificus* revealed the medicinal potential values. The solvent extracts such as petroleum ether and ethanol and all the aqueous extracts proved to possess the antiobiotica potential against various pathogens causes abdominal pain, diarrhea, fever, nausea, septicaemia, urinary tract infections and vomiting (*E. coli*), wound and septicaemia infections (*P. vulgaris* and *P. aeruginosa*), typhoid fever (*S. typhi*) and diarrheal infections (*Vibrio* species). Further, inhibition zone on against the growth of the fungal pathogen causes skin related diseases (*C. albicans*) and aspergillosis and respiratory tract infections (*A. flavus*) respectively. The phytochemical compounds which are responsible for the significant inhibitory activity against various human infections should be isolated and identified to develop a new lead of therapeutic interest.

CONCLUSION

From the present study, it is concluded that the selected ethnomedicinal plant *Hugonia mystax* L. has proved its medicinal potential against various human ailments. Furthermore, it is necessary to isolate the bioactive molecules responsible for the activities to develop novel leads of pharmaceutical interest.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The first (AV) author thanks Pondicherry Adidraavidar Development Corporation (PADCO) Pondicherry, for the financial assistance to carry out this research.

REFERENCES

1. Santapau H, Henry AN. A Dictionary of the Flowering Plants in India. New Delhi: Publications & Information Directorate; 1983.
2. Pullaiah T, Chennaiah E. Flora of Andhra Pradesh. Volume 1, Jodhpur, India: Scientific Publishers; 1997.
3. Balasubramaniam P, Rajasekaran A, Prasad N. Folk Medicine of the Irulas of Coimbatore Forests. Ancient Sci Life 1997; 15 Suppl 3: 1-3.
4. Dhivaharan V, Madhavan S, Balu S. Ethnobotany of Point Calimere Wildlife Sanctuary, Tamil Nadu: A preliminary survey. Adv Plant Sci 21 Suppl 1: 343-345.
5. Sharma BD, Sanjappa M. Flora of India. Volume 3, New Delhi: Botanical Survey of India; 1993.
6. Variers PS. Indian Medicinal Plants a compendium of 500 species. Volume 3, India: Orient Longman Limited; 1996. p. 182-184.

7. Yoganarasimhan SN. Medicinal Plants of India. Volume 2, Bangalore, India: Self-published; 2000.p. 275.
8. Anonymous. The wealth of India. Raw materials, Volume 5, New Delhi, India: National Institute of Science Communication, CSIR; 2001.
9. Guha Bakshi DN, Sensarma P, Pal DC. Lexicon of Medicinal Plants in India. Volume 2, Calcutta, India: Naya Prokash; 2001. 369.
10. Rastogi P, Mehrotra BN, Sinha S, Srivastava M, Bhushan B, editors. Compendium of Indian Medicinal Plants. Volume 4, Lucknow: Central Drug Research Institute; 2002.p 386.
11. Harborne JB. A Guide to Modern techniques of Plant Analysis, 3rd edn, U.S.A: Kluwer Academic Publishers; 1998.
12. Evans WC. Trease and Evans Pharmacognosy. New Delhi, India: Elsevier India Pvt. Ltd.: 2006.
13. Sen S, Nandi P. Antibiotics from the Pteridophytes. Sci Cult 1951; 16 Suppl 7: 328-329.
14. Perez C, Paul M, Bazerque P. Antibiotic assay by agar-well diffusion method. Acta Biol Med Exp 1990; 15: 113-115.
15. Balunas MJ, Kinghorn AD. Drug discovery from medicinal plants. Life Sci 2005; 78: 431-441.
16. Muthaura CN, Keriko JM Derese S, Yenesew A, Rukunga GM. Investigation of some medicinal plants traditionally used for the treatment of malaria in Kenya as potential sources of antimalarial drugs. Exp Parasitol 2011; 127: 609-626.
17. Lewis K, Ausubel FM. Prospects for plant-derived antibacterials. Nat Biotech 2006; 24 Suppl 12: 1504-1507.
18. Adekunle AS, Adekunle OC. Preliminary assessment of antimicrobial properties of aqueous extract of plants against infectious diseases. Biol Med 2009; 1 Suppl 3: 20- 24.
19. Olagunju JA, Fagbohunka BS, Oyedapo OO, Abdul AIA. Effects of an ethanolic root extract of *Plumbago zeylanica* Linn. on some serum parameters of the rats. RPMP Drug Dev Mol 2006; 11: 268-276.
20. Williams RJ, Spencer JPE, Rice-Evans C. Flavonoids: antioxidants or signaling molecules?. Free Radic Biol Med 2004; 36: 838-849.
21. Taylor LP, Grotewold E. Flavonoids as developmental regulators. Curr Opin Plant Biol 2005; 8: 317-323.
22. Garcia-Mediavilla V, Crespo I, Collado PS, Esteller A, Sanchez-Campos S, Tunon MJ, Gonzalez-Gallego J. The anti-inflammatory flavones quercetin and kaempferol cause inhibition of inducible nitric oxide synthase, cyclooxygenase-2 and reactive Cprotein, and down-regulation of the nuclear factor kappaB pathway in Chang Liver cells. Eur J Pharmacol 2007; 557: 221-229.
23. Pandey MK, Sandur SK, Sung B, Sethi G, Kunnumakkara AB, Aggarwal BB. Butein, a tetrahydroxychalcone, inhibits nuclear factor (NF)-kappa B and NF-kappa B-regulated gene expression through direct inhibition of I kappa B alpha kinase beta on cysteine 179 residue. J Biol Chem 2007; 282: 17340-17350.
24. Sung B, Pandey MK, Aggarwal BB. Fisetin, an inhibitor of cyclin-dependent kinase 6, down-regulates nuclear factor-kappa B-regulated cell proliferation, antiapoptotic and metastatic gene products through the suppression of TAK-1 and receptor-interactingprotein-regulated I kappa B alpha kinase activation. Mol Pharmacol 2007; 71: 1703-1714.
25. Kim JY, Park SJ, Yun KJ, Cho YW, Park HJ, Lee KT. Isoliquiritigenin isolated from the roots of *Glycyrrhiza uralensis* inhibits LPS induced NOS and COX-2 expression via the attenuation of NFkappa B in RAW 264.7 macrophages. Eur J Pharmacol 2008; 584: 175-184.
26. Singh RP, Gu M, Agarwal R. Silibinin inhibits colorectal cancer growth by inhibiting tumor cell proliferation and angiogenesis. Cancer Res 2008; 68: 2043-2050.
27. Buer CS, Imin, N, Djordjevic, MA. Flavonoids: New Roles for Old molecules. J Integr Plant Biol 2010; 52 Suppl 1: 98-111.
28. Polya GM. Biochemical Targets of Plant Bioactive Compounds: A Pharmacological Reference Guide to Sites of Action and Biological Effects. London, New York: Taylor & Francis; 2003.
29. McCutcheon AR, Ellis SM, Hancock REW, Towers GHN. Antibiotic screening of medicinal plants of the British Columbian native peoples. J Ethnopharmacol 1992; 37: 213-223.
30. Garvey MI, Mukhlesur Rahman M, Gibbons S, Piddock LJV. Medicinal plant extracts with efflux inhibitory activity against Gram-negative Bacteria. Int J Antimicrob Ag 2011; 37:145-151.
31. Taleb-Contini SH, Salvador MJ, Watanabe EE, Ito IY, Oliveira DCR. Antimicrobial activity of flavonoids and steroids isolated from two *Chromolaena* species. Braz J Pharm Sci 2003; 39 Suppl 4: 403-408.
32. Bylka W, Matlawska I, Pilewski NA. Natural Flavonoids as Antimicrobial Agents. Jana 2004; 7 Suppl 2: 24-31.
33. Özçelik B, Orhan D, Özgen S, Ergun F. Antimicrobial Activity of Flavonoids against Extended-Spectrum-Lactamase (ESBL)-Producing *Klebsiella pneumoniae*. Trop J Pharm Res 2008; 7 Suppl 4: 1151-1157.
34. Sati SC, Joshi S. Aspects of antifungal potential of ethnobotanically known medicinal plants. Res J Med Plants 2011; 5 Suppl 4: 377-391.
35. Cowan MM. Plant Products as Antimicrobial Agents. Clin Microbiol Rev 1999; 12 Suppl 4: 564-582.
36. Subhisha S, Subramoniam A. Antifungal activities of a steroid from *Pallavicinia lyellii* a liver wort. Indian J Pharmacol 2005; 37 Suppl 5:304-308.