METHOD DEVELOPMENT AND VALIDATION FOR SIMULTANEOUS DETERMINATION OF LAMIVUDINE AND TENOFOVIR IN TABLET DOSAGE FORM BY RP- HPLC
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ABSTRACT

A simple, rapid reverse – phase high performance liquid chromatographic method has been developed and validated for the simultaneous estimation of lamivudine and tenofovir disoproxil fumarate in pure and in tablet dosage form. 

Objective: To develop and validate a high performance liquid chromatographic method for simultaneous estimation of lamivudine and tenofovir disoproxil fumarate in pure and in tablet dosage form.

Method: The estimation was carried out on a Phenomenax Luna C18 (150 mm x 4.6 mm i.d., particle size 5µm) column with a mixture of acetonitrile: methanol: water in the ratio of 30:50:20 (v/v) as mobile phase. UV detection was performed at 258 nm. The method was validated for linearity, accuracy, precision, specificity and sensitivity as per ICH norms. The developed and validated method was successfully used for the quantitative analysis of commercially available dosage form.

Results: The retention time was 2.166 and 5.127 min. for lamivudine and tenofovir disoproxil fumarate, respectively. The flow rate was 1.0 mL min⁻¹. The calibration curve was linear over the concentration range of 20-60 ppm mL⁻¹ for both lamivudine and tenofovir disoproxil fumarate. The LOD and LOQ values were found to be 2.97 and 9.98 for lamivudine, 3.04 and 9.94 for tenofovir disoproxil fumarate, respectively.

Conclusion: The high percentage of recovery and low percentage coefficient of variance confirm the suitability of the method for the simultaneous estimation of lamivudine and tenofovir disoproxil fumarate in pure and in tablet dosage form.
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INTRODUCTION

Tenofovir chemically, it is 9-[(R)-2-[[bis [[isoproxyacarbonyl] oxy] methoxy] phosphoryl] methoxy] pmpyl] adenine fumarate [1:1]. It is an antiretroviral agent belonging to the class of nucleotide reverse transcriptase inhibitor. Lamivudine chemically it is (2R-cis)-4-amino-1-[2-(hydroxymethyl)-1,3-oxathiolan-5-yl]-2[1H] pyrimidinone, is a synthetic nucleoside analogue with potent activity against human immune deficiency virus (HIV) and hepatitis B viruses (HBV) through inhibition of reverse transcriptase activity [1].
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Lamivudine and Tenofovir is a new drug combination. Literature reveals different methods for their analysis in their formulations [2-4]. But our present plan is to develop a new, simple, precise & accurate method for its analysis in formulation after a detailed study a new RP-HPLC method was decided to be developed and validated as per ICH norms [5-6].

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Apparatus and chromatographic parameters

A Waters HPLC with Alliance with Auto sampler with Empower 2.0 software with Phenomenax Luna C18 (150 mm x 4.6 mm i.d., particle size 5µm) column and UV detector was employed in this study. An Edwa pH meter Afcoset digital balance and ambient column oven were the other instruments used for this study.

Reagents and solutions

HPLC grade Acetonitrile and Methanol, a GR grade/Merck Potassium di hydrogen phosphate, HPLC grade water and Lamivudine and tenofovir drug was used in the study. A mixture of acetonitrile: methanol: water in the ratio of 30:50:20 (v/v) as a mobile phase at a pH 3.0 adjusted with ortho phosphoric Acid and it is also used as a diluent for preparing the working solution of drug. The mobile phase was degassed in ultrasonic water bath for 5 minutes and filtered through 0.45µm filter under vacuum filtration.
Preparation of the Lamivudine & Tenofovir Standard & Sample Solution

Accurately weighed and transferred 10 mg of Lamivudine and Tenofovir working standard and drug sample into a different 10mL clean dry volumetric flasks, added about 7mL of Diluent and sonicate to dissolve it completely and made volume up to the mark with the same solvent. Mixed well and filtered through 0.45µm filter.

Method development

Three trials were performed for the method development and the best peak with least fronting factor was found to be the third peak with RT= 2.166 for Lamivudine and 5.127 for Tenofovir.

Method validation

Precision

The standard solution was injected for five times and measured the area for all five injections in HPLC. The %RSD for the area of five replicate injections was found to be within the specified limits.

Acceptance Criteria

The % RSD for the area of five standard injections results should not be more than 2% Precision result for lamivudine:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No.</th>
<th>RT</th>
<th>Peak area</th>
<th>Average peak area</th>
<th>Standard deviation</th>
<th>% RSD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.282</td>
<td>1313235</td>
<td>1344089</td>
<td>23777.66</td>
<td>1.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.312</td>
<td>1326776</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.344</td>
<td>1347962</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.351</td>
<td>1368872</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.358</td>
<td>1363598</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Precision results for tenofovir:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No.</th>
<th>RT</th>
<th>Peak area</th>
<th>Average peak area</th>
<th>Standard deviation</th>
<th>% RSD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.433</td>
<td>458218</td>
<td>455995</td>
<td>2942.648</td>
<td>0.645325</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.557</td>
<td>452495</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.623</td>
<td>453221</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.639</td>
<td>457145</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>3.704</td>
<td>458898</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Accuracy

Injected the standard solutions of Accuracy -50%, 100% and 150% and calculated the Amount found, Amount added for Lamivudine and tenofovir and the individual recovery and mean recovery values.

Acceptance Criteria: The % Recovery for each level should be between 98.0 to 102.0%.

For Lamivudine:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>%Concentration (at specification Level)</th>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Amount Added (mg)</th>
<th>Amount Found (mg)</th>
<th>% Recovery</th>
<th>Mean Recovery</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>50%</td>
<td>703289</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>100.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100%</td>
<td>1398216</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>9.98</td>
<td>99.8%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150%</td>
<td>2199166</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>101.3%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For Tenofovir:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>% Concentration (at specification Level)</th>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Amount Added (mg)</th>
<th>Amount Found (mg)</th>
<th>% Recovery</th>
<th>Mean Recovery</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>50%</td>
<td>239738</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>4.98</td>
<td>99.7%</td>
<td>100.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100%</td>
<td>480445</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>9.99</td>
<td>99.9%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150%</td>
<td>733711</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>101.7%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Recovery studies

To determine the accuracy and precision of the proposed method recovery studies were carried out. A fixed amount of sample was taken and standard drug was added at 50%, 100% and 150% levels. The results were analyzed and the results were within the limits. The % recovery, Mean recovery and %Relative standard deviation value for Lamivudine and tenofovir drug was found to be 99.8-101.3% and 99.7-101.7% respectively.

Linearity and Calibration Curve

Working dilutions of Lamivudine and tenofovir in the range of 20-60ppm was prepared by taking suitable aliquots of working standard solutions of drug in different 10ml volumetric flask and diluting up to the mark with mobile phase. 20µl quantity of each dilutions was injected in to the column at a flow rate of 0.7ml/min. the drug in the elute was monitored at 258 nm and the corresponding chromatograms were recorded. From these the mean peak areas were calculated and a plot of concentration vs peak areas was constructed. The regression of the plot was computed by least square regression method. The slope and intercept value for calibration curve for lamivudine was y=36731x+22413 ($R^2=0.999$) and tenofovir was y=11046x+8998 ($R^2=0.999$) founded respectively.
Limit of detection and limit of quantification

Limit of Detection (LOD) is the lowest concentration of an analyte in a sample that can be detected but not quantified. LOD is expressed as a concentration at a specified signal to noise ratio. The LOD will not only depend on the procedure of analysis but also on the type of instrument. In chromatography, detection limit is the injected amount that results in a peak with a height at least twice or thrice as high as baseline noise level.

The LOD for Lamivudine and tenofovir was found to be 2.97 and 3.04 respectively.

Limit of Quantification (LOQ) is defined as lowest concentration of analyte in a sample that can be determined with acceptable precision and accuracy and reliability by a given method under stated experimental conditions. LOQ is expressed as a concentration at a specified signal to noise ratio. In chromatography, limit of quantification is the injected amount that results in a peak with a height, ten times as high as base line noise level.

The LOQ for Lamivudine and tenofovir was found to be 9.98 and 9.94 respectively.

Robustness

Robustness is determined by making deliberate changes in the chromatographic conditions like change in flow rate, mobile phase composition and temperature and evaluated for the impact on the method. It was observed from the chromatograms that the results were within the limits. This indicates that the method developed is robust.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A simple, rapid and precise method has been developed and validated for the drugs Lamivudine and tenofovir. The estimation was carried out with a mixture of acetonitrile: methanol: water in the ratio of 30:50:20 (v/v) as mobile phase. Precision of the methods were studied by making repeated injections of the samples and system precision values were determined. The retention time was 2.166 and 5.127 min. for lamivudine and tenofovir disopropil fumarate, respectively. The calibration curve was linear over the concentration range of 20-60 ppm mL⁻¹. The LOD and LOQ values were found to be 2.97, 3.04 and 9.98, 9.94 for lamivudine and tenofovir disopropil fumarate, respectively. The high percentage of recovery and low percentage coefficient of variance confirm the suitability of the method. Hence it was concluded that the RP-HPLC method developed was very much suit for routine analysis.
CONCLUSION

The proposed study describes new and simple RP-HPLC method for the estimation of Lamivudine and tenofovir. The method validated was found to be simple, accurate and precise. Therefore the proposed study method can be used for quantification of Lamivudine and tenofovir in bulk and pharmaceutical dosage form.
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